



## RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE REQUESTED EXAMINING GROUP 2100

PATENT

Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 04329.2725-00000

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Application of:   | )                              |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Atsushi SHIMBO et al.   | )<br>Group Art Unit: 2135      |
| Application No.: 10/051,276   | )<br>Examiner: PATEL, NIRAV B. |
| Filed: January 22, 2002   | )<br>Confirmation No.: 7600    |
| For: MODULAR EXPONENTIATION (CALCULATION APPARATUS AND MODULAR EXPONENTIATION CALCULATION METHOD (CALCULATION METHOD (CALCULATION METHOD (CALCULATION METHOD) | )<br>Mail Stop AF<br>)<br>)    |
| Commissioner for Patents  |                                |

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## **AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL**

In reply to the Final Office Action mailed January 31, 2006, and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.116, Applicants propose to amend this application as follows and request that the Examiner reconsider and reexamine this application in view of the following Remarks.

Amendments to the Specification are included in this paper, and begin on page 3 of this paper.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims, which begin on page 4 of this paper.

Application No.: 10/051,276 Filing Date: January 22, 2006 Attorney Docket No.: 04329.2725-00000

Remarks follow the amendment sections of this paper, and begin on page 12 of this paper.